arXiv:math-ph/9808009v1 20 Aug 1998

Generalization of Integrality Condition of Prequantization to Phase Space with Boundaries*

Ming-Xue Shao[†], Zhong-Yuan Zhu[‡]

CCAST(World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing, 100080, P.R.China

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, P.R.China.

Abstract

The Weil's integrality condition of prequantization line bundle is generalized to phase space with boundaries. The proofs of both necessity and sufficiency are given. It is pointed out via the method of topological current that Weil's integrality condition is closely connected with the summation of index of isolated singular points of sections of prequantization line bundle.

PACS: 02.40.Ma

Keywords: integrality condition; boundary; prequantization

Symplectic geometrical description of classical mechanics and its geometric quantization are essentially globalization of, respectively, Hamiltonian mechanics and canonical

---

[*] this work is supported by NSF of China, Pan Den Plan of China and LWTZ -1298 of Chinese Academy of Sciences

[†] E-mail: shaomx@itp.ac.cn

[‡] E-mail: zzy@itp.ac.cn

quantization[1]. Geometric quantization has been considered as a so far most mathematically thorough approach to quantization. The first step in geometric quantization is prequantization which needs a Hermitian line bundle to realize the representation of the Poission brackets of classical observables. Furthermore in order to satisfy the Dirac condition[2] in prequantization, the pull back of curvature form Ω of the bundle should be the same as the symplectic form $\hbar^{-1}\omega$ of the symplectic manifold M. The question is whether or not any ω can be used to construct the bundle . The answer is as follows. Such a bundle and connection exist if and only if ω satisfies Weil′s integrality condition[3][4]: 'The integral of ω over any closed oriented 2-surface in M is an integral multiple of $2\pi\hbar$.' This condition is closely related to the quantization rule in the old quantum theory[5][6]. In this paper we use the technique of decomposition of connection [7][8] and topological current[9][10] to prove its necessity. We also generalize it to the case of phase spaces with boundary. Besides a proof of sufficiency via geometric construction is given when M is simply connected.

Let $B \mapsto M$ be a Hermitian line bundle with M the phase space of classical system and $\Psi = \psi s$ be the section of B with s the unit section. The Dirac condition in prequantization requires[1]

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{\hbar}\omega, \tag{1}$$

in which Ω is the curvature form of the bundle B and ω the symplectic form of symplectic manifold M. The connection on B is defined as

$$Ds = -i\Theta s, \tag{2}$$

where Θ denotes the connection 1-form. The covariant derivative of Ψ is

$$D\psi s = (d\psi - i\Theta\psi)s \tag{3}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$D\psi = d\psi - i\Theta\psi, \tag{4}$$

from which we can obtain

$$\Theta = -i\frac{1}{\psi}d\psi + i\frac{1}{\psi}D\psi. \tag{5}$$

Now we write ψ by its real part ψ_1 and imaginary part ψ_2

$$\psi = ||\psi||(n^1 + in^2), \tag{6}$$

where

$$||\psi|| = \sqrt{{\psi_1}^2 + \psi_2^2}; \tag{7}$$

$$n^1 = \frac{\psi_1}{\sqrt{{\psi_1}^2 + \psi_2^2}}, \qquad n^2 = \frac{\psi_2}{\sqrt{{\psi_1}^2 + \psi_2^2}}. \tag{8}$$

Denote $\vec{\psi} = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ which can be considered as a 2-component vector field and $\vec{n} = (n^1, n^2)$ a unit vector field

$$n^1 n^1 + n^2 n^2 = 1. \tag{9}$$

Putting (6) into (4), we obtain

$$D\psi = d||\psi||(n^1 + in^2) + ||\psi||(Dn^1 + iDn^2), \tag{10}$$

in which

$$Dn^1 = dn^1 + \Theta n^2; \qquad Dn^2 = dn^2 - \Theta n^1. \tag{11}$$

Recall the $so(2)$ covariant derivative

$$Dn^a = dn^a + \omega^{ab} n^b. \tag{12}$$

The comparison of (11) and (12) implies $\Theta = \omega^{12}$, for which the underlined reason is the homomorphism of Lie algebra of $su(1)$ and $so(2)$.

Putting Eqs. (10) and (6) into (5), we get

$$\Theta = \epsilon_{ab} n^a dn^b - \epsilon_{ab} n^a Dn^b, \tag{13}$$

where we have used Eq. (9). From Eq. (13), the curvature of the line bundle is

$$\Omega = d\Theta = \epsilon_{ab} dn^a \wedge dn^b - d(\epsilon_{ab} n^a Dn^b). \tag{14}$$

If there is no zero points of ψ, or no singular points of n, the integral of (14) over any closed 2-surface will vanish by Stocks theorem.. However in general (14) can not be globally correct if ψ as a global section has zero points which depend on topological property of the bundle. That is to say, (14) has singular points. In this case a convenient method is to use the so called topological current technique[9] [7][10]as follows. The first term of rhs of (14) is

$$\epsilon_{ab} dn^a \wedge dn^b = 2\pi T dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \tag{15}$$

where $x^\mu, \mu = 1, 2$ is two dimensional local coordinates of oriented 2-surface Σ in M, and T is defined by

$$T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_\mu n^a \partial_\nu n^b. \tag{16}$$

Substituting (8) into (16) and using

$$\partial_\mu n^a = \frac{\delta^{al} ||\psi||^2 - \psi^a \psi^l}{||\psi||^3} \partial_\mu \psi^l \tag{17}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^l} (ln \frac{1}{||\psi||}) = -\frac{\psi^l}{||\psi||^2} \tag{18}$$

results in

$$T = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_\mu \psi^l \partial_\nu \psi^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^a} (ln \frac{1}{||\psi||}). \tag{19}$$

Define Jacobian determinant as

$$\epsilon^{ab} J([\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}]) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi^a \partial_\nu \psi^b, \tag{20}$$

by virtue of the 2-dimensional Laplacian relation[11]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^l} (ln \frac{1}{||\psi||}) = -2\pi \delta(\vec{\psi}), \tag{21}$$

in which $(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^l \partial \psi^l})$ is 2-dimensional Laplacian operator in $\vec{\psi}$ space, the δ-function-like density

$$T = \delta(\vec{\psi}) J([\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}]) \tag{22}$$

is obtained. Suppose that the function $\psi^a (a = 1, 2)$ possess n isolated zeroes. Let the i-th zero be $\vec{x} = \vec{z_i}$, one has

$$\psi^a(\vec{z_i}) = 0, with \;\; i = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{23}$$

Then we have

$$\delta(\vec{\psi})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\beta_i}{|J([\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}])|_{\vec{x}=\vec{z_i}}},\tag{24}$$

where β_i is a positive integer called Hopf index[12] of the i-th singular point, which denote the times the function $\vec{\psi}$ covers the corresponding region while the point $\vec{x}$ covers the neighborhood of $\vec{x}=\vec{z_i}$ once. Substituting (24) into (22), the charge density T can be written in the form

$$T=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i\eta_i\delta^2(\vec{x}-\vec{z_i}),\tag{25}$$

where

$$\eta_i=\frac{J([\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}])}{|J([\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}])|}|_{\vec{x}=\vec{z_i}}=\mp 1\tag{26}$$

are called the Brouwer degrees[13], which reflects whether or not the covering of ψ has the same direction on bundle with that of $\vec{x}$ on 2-dimensional base manifold.

Now consider the integral of (14) over a closed 2-surface Σ. . Using (25) and the fact Σ is closed, we get

$$\int_{\Sigma}\Omega=2\pi\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i\eta_i=2\pi g,\tag{27}$$

where $g=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i\eta_i$ is topological charge. Considering (1),

$$\int_{\Sigma}\omega=hg.\tag{28}$$

is obtained. This demonstrates the integral of ω over any closed 2-surface of phase space is an integer multiplied by plank constant h. Furthermore from this derivation we know this integer can be determined by the summation of index of zero-points of ψ. Now we consider the case that 2-surface Σ with boundary $\partial\Sigma$ to be piece-wise smooth. Making use of Stocks theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma}\Omega=2\pi\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i\eta_i+\int_{\partial(\Sigma-\Sigma')}\epsilon_{ab}n^a dn^b-\int_{\partial\Sigma}\epsilon_{ab}n^a Dn^b,\tag{29}$$

where Σ' is another 2-surface with boundary $\partial\Sigma'$ which is chosen to be smooth and $\rightarrow\partial\Sigma$ in limit. Defining α to be the angle from $\vec{e_1}=(1,0)$ to $\vec{n}=(n^1,n^2)$, we get

$$\epsilon_{ab}n^a dn^b=d\alpha.\tag{30}$$

Obviously the change of α along $\partial\Sigma'$ is $2\pi l$ with l an integer. So, considering this and (1)(29), we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma}\omega = 2\pi\hbar(-l + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i\eta_i) + \hbar\int_{\partial\Sigma}\Theta, \tag{31}$$

in which we have used the Stocks theorem and (1)(29). Notice the second term in (31) is invariant under the transformation of connection. Condition (31)is necessary. A slight difference is that here we generalize to 2-surface with boundary in M. When M has boundary this generalization is needed because a closed path γ in M will deduce two types of 2-surfaces in M : (1) with γ as boundary (2) with γ and a closed path $c \subset \partial M$ as boundary. The particular case is when M is closed both the second term in (31) and l in the first term vanish, we return to

$$\int_{\Sigma}\omega = 2\pi\hbar(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i\eta_i). \tag{32}$$

For a general case that the phase space M has boundary ∂M, assuming that M is simply connected, (31) is also a sufficient condition. We will use geometric construction to prove it.

Suppose that $\Omega = \omega/\hbar = d\Theta$ is a closed 2-form with ω satisfy (31). Choose a base point m_0 in M and let K denote the set of all triples (m, z, γ) where $m \in M$, $z \in \mathcal{C}$, and γ is a piecewise smooth path from m_0 to m. On K, define an equivalence relations $\sim$ by

$$(m, z, \gamma) \sim (m', z', \gamma'), \tag{33}$$

whenever $m = m'$ and

$$z' = \{ \begin{array}{l} zexp(i\int_{\Sigma}\Omega), \\ zexp(-i\int_{\Sigma'}\Omega + i\int_{c}\Theta), \end{array} \tag{34}$$

where Σ is any surface with boundary made up of $\gamma(\gamma')^{-1}$ and Σ' surface with boundary made up of $\gamma'(\gamma)^{-1}c$ with $c \subset \partial M$ a closed path. Because (31) hold, it does not matter which surface is chosen.

We shall take as the total space of our bundle the manifold $B = K/\sim$ with the obvious projection onto M: addition and scalar multiplication within the fibers are defined by

$$[(m, z, \gamma) + (m, z', \gamma)] = [(m, z + z', \gamma)], \tag{35}$$

$$w[(m,z,\gamma)] = [(m,wz,\gamma)]; w \in C \tag{36}$$

(where square brackets denote equivalence classes). The local trivializations are constructed as follows: Let $m_1 \in m$ and U_1 be a simply connected neighbourhood (possibly containing points of boundary ∂M) of m_1 on which there is a real 1-form Θ_1 such that $d\Theta_1 = \Omega$. Let $m \in U_1$ and let ξ be a smooth curve in U from m_1 to m. For each $w \in C$, put

$$\psi_1(m,w) = [(m, wexp(-i\int_\xi \Theta), \gamma\xi^{-1})], \tag{37}$$

where γ is some fixed curve joining m_0 to m_1. It follows from Stokes theorem and (33) that $\psi_1(m,w)$ is independent of the choice made for ξ. Therefore U_1 and ψ_1 from a local trivialization.

If (U_2, ψ_2) is another such local trivialization by replacing m_1, U_1, and Θ_1 by m_2, U_2, and Θ_2, and if $U_1 \cap U_2$ is simply connected, then

$$\psi_2(m,w) = c_{12}(m)\psi_1(m,w); m \in U_1 \cap U_2, w \in C, \tag{38}$$

where the transition function $c_{12} \in C^\infty_C(U_1 \cap U_2)$ satisfies

$$c_{12}(m') = c_{12}(m)exp(i\int_m^{m'}(\Theta_1 - \Theta_2)); m, m' \in U_1 \cap U_2, \tag{39}$$

in which the integral is taken along any path from m to m'. It follows that

$$\frac{dc_{12}}{c_{12}} = i(\Theta_1 - \Theta_2), \tag{40}$$

hence Θs are the connection 1-form to define covariant derivative D on the bundle B with curvature Ω. Further, a compatible Hermitian structure on B is defined by

$$([m,z,\gamma],[m,z,\gamma]) = z\overline{z}. \tag{41}$$

Since a different base point will give an equivalent Hermitian line bundle-with connection, we complete the proof of sufficient condition.

At last of this paper, we point out that the second term in (31) can be simplified by choosing unit vector $\vec{n}$ to be tangent to the boundary. Then $n^b, k^b = -\epsilon_{ab}n^a$ has the same orientation with the base manifold, then define

$$-\epsilon_{ab} D n^a n^b = k_g ds \tag{42}$$

with k_g the geodesic curvature along the boundary and s the parameter of the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. Consider the boundary is piece-wise with m angle-change points. Let the inner angle of i-th angle-change points be α_i. From Eqs.(31) and (42), we get

$$\int_\Sigma \omega = 2\pi\hbar \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \eta_i - \hbar \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\pi - \alpha_i) - \hbar \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_g ds. \tag{43}$$

References

[1] N. M. J. Woodhouse, Geometric Quantization, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992);
J. Sniatycki, Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics, (Springer-verlager, 1980).

[2] P.A.M.Dirac The Fundamental Equations of Quantum Mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser.A, 133(1925)642-653

[3] A. Weil Variets Kahleriennes. Hermann, Paris(1958)

[4] B. Kostant, Quantization and unitary representations. in Lectures in modern analysis 3(e. C.T. Taam). Lecture notes in mathmatics, Vol.170 Springer, Berlin.

[5] A. Messiah quantum Mechanics, Vol. 1 (1961)North-Hoolland. Amsterdam.

[6] D. J. Simms, Geometric Quantization of the Harmonic Oscillator with diagonalised Hamiltonian. In Colloquium on Group theoretical Methods in Physics(ed. A. Janner et.al.,)University of Nijmegen, Holland.

[7] Y. S. Duan and Z. P. Duan, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 24(1986)531
Y. S. Duan and S. L. Zhang, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 28(1990)689; 29(1991)1593; 30(1992)153

[8] M. X. Shao, Master Thesis, Lanzhou University (1995)

[9] Y. S. Duan, J. Math. Phys.35(1994)9

[10] Y.S. Duan and X.H. Meng, J. Math. Phys. 34(1993)1149

[11] I. M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized function, National Press of Mathmatics Literature, Moscoro(1958)

[12] J.Arafune, G. O. Freund and C. J. Geobel. J. Math. Phys. 16(1975)433

[13] B.A. Dubrovin, A.T. Fomenko and S.P. Novikov, Modern Geometry methods and Applications, Springer, New York(1984).